

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Alexandria Division**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>No. 1:19-cr-59 (LO)</b>
	)	
<b>DANIEL EVERETTE HALE,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	

**DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO SEAL, NOTICE OF FILING OF MOTION TO SEAL  
AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL**

Pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 49(E), the defendant, Daniel Everette Hale, through counsel, hereby gives notice that he is moving for leave to file Defendant’s Position on Sentencing under seal and moves for an Order sealing Defendant’s Position on Sentencing. Separately, defendant will promptly file on the public docket a redacted copy of Defendant’s Position on Sentencing, making available to the public those portions of the Position on Sentencing that do not require sealing. A proposed Order is attached for the Court’s consideration.<sup>1</sup>

**I. Item to be Sealed and Necessity for Sealing**

A. The defendant asks the Court to seal Defendant’s Position on Sentencing, to be filed with the Court on July 20, 2021.

B. Sealing is necessary in order to safeguard the privacy and safety of the defendant in that the document contains sensitive medical information including mental health history and

---

<sup>1</sup> The document to be sealed will be filed with the Court non-electronically pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 49(E) and the Electronic Case Filing Policies and Procedures (*see* p. 16). Pursuant to the Local Rules, the sealed document is to be treated as sealed pending the outcome of this motion.

diagnoses as well as other confidential information. Counsel for the defendant has considered procedures other than sealing and none will suffice to protect this information from disclosure.

## II. Previous Court Decisions Which Concern Sealing Documents

A. The Court has the inherent power to seal materials submitted to it. *See United States v. Wuagneux*, 683 F.2d 1343, 1351 (11th Cir. 1982); *State of Arizona v. Maypenny*, 672 F.2d 761, 765 (9th Cir. 1982); *Times Mirror Company v. United States*, 873 F.2d 1210 (9th Cir. 1989); *see also Shea v. Gabriel*, 520 F.2d 879 (1st Cir. 1975); *United States v. Hubbard*, 650 F.2d 293 (D.C. Cir. 1980); *In re Braughton*, 520 F.2d 765, 766 (9th Cir. 1975). “The trial court has supervisory power over its own records and may, in its discretion, seal documents if the public’s right of access is outweighed by competing interests.” *In re Knight Pub. Co.*, 743 F.2d 231, 235 (4th Cir. 1984).

B. This Court previously has sealed documents in other cases dealing with the same or similar subject matters as the material sought to be sealed in this matter.

## III. Period of Time to Have the Document Under Seal

A. The material to be filed under seal would need to remain sealed permanently or until unsealed by the Court.

\* \* \*

Accordingly, the defendant respectfully requests that an Order be entered allowing the aforementioned Position on Sentencing to be placed Under Seal. An appropriate Order is attached.

Dated: July 20, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL EVERETTE HALE

By: /s/

Todd M. Richman

Va. Bar No. 41834

Cadence A. Mertz

Va. Bar No. 89750

Assistant Federal Public Defenders

Office of the Federal Public Defender

1650 King Street, Suite 500

Alexandria, Virginia 22314

703-600-0800

703-600-0880 (fax)

Cadence\_Mertz@fd.org

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on July 20, 2021, I will file the foregoing using the CM/ECF system, which will serve copies on counsel of record.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Cadence A. Mertz  
Va. Bar No. 89750  
Assistant Federal Public Defender  
Office of the Federal Public Defender  
1650 King Street, Suite 500  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
703-600-0840  
703-600-0880 (fax)  
Cadence\_Mertz@fd.org